## 5. FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

The team reached a number of findings and conclusions during its two-week visit to the NSGA Northwest CDAA site. Many factors affecting signal reception were identified and investigated during the short time at the site. The technical details of the investigation are provided in prior sections of this document and the major findings are summarized below.

- A determination of the present status of power-line noise and its sources was a primary objective of the visit. While the team did not have good records of the sources and magnitude of power-line noise levels from past work, it appears that most of the major sources have been eliminated. We are appreciative of the past work by representatives of NISE East and the Virginia Electric Power Company on this difficult noise-mitigation problem. Several lower-level sources of power-line noise still exist. Since there was not time for a full-scale source-location effort during this trip, additional work on this problem is required.
- RFD loss and RFD noise, although too high for good site performance, is about normal for an ENLARGER-equipped site. Beam-to-beam leakage appeared to be somewhat higher than normal.
- When the RFD noise and leakage problems are corrected and the RFD loss problem is addressed, radio interference from power lines and EMI from digital devices will still limit signal reception to undesirable levels.
- Several sources of harmful EMI from digital power-control devices were identified. The most detrimental source is the variable-speed induction-motor drive located in a room on the northwest side of the Operations Building (Building 41) of the CDAA. It controls the air flow to a portion of the Operations Building. The next source is a UPS located in a satellite communications shelter operated by GE-Americom. This source is especially onerous when a diesel generator for the SATCOM facility is in operation. A third source is the power-supply controller for the field current supplied to two diesel generators located in the NATO SATCOM building. The fourth source of EMI identified in 1988, and reconfirmed as a source during this visit, is the site's primary UPS. Still another digital motor controller is believed to be located in the SATCOM complex northwest of the CDAA in the same general vicinity as the GE-Americom shelter. This source was not located by the team, and it is believed to be not yet in service. Lack of time for all internal and external source-location work precluded further investigation.

- The team is concerned that additional variable-speed induction-motor controllers are likely to be installed at and in the vicinity of the NSGA Northwest CDAA facility in the near future. Typical new locations for such devices are to control support devices in the Operations Building, other buildings on the Northwest base, the hospital under construction adjacent to the base entrance, the waste-treatment plant at the Northwest site, the water-treatment plant along Highway 168 north of the CDAA, and in small business and farming operations within line of sight of the CDAA.
- It will be necessary to establish procedures to ensure that additional motor controllers, UPS, and other power-control devices generating harmful levels of radio interference are not installed at, or near, the Northwest base. In addition, a means to coordinate potential radio-interference problems from such sources needs to be established with local officials and with the two local electric utilities. Otherwise, the motor-controller problem will continue to grow and the reception of radio signals at the site will be restricted to high-level signals of little or no interest.
- The site, in its present state, can detect and receive radio signals from many sources that employ relatively high transmitter power and good transmitting antennas. This creates the illusion that the site is functioning normally, but the site cannot at this time reliably receive signals from many sources of primary interest.
- Effective mitigation techniques are available at low-to-modest cost to correct all radio-interference problems identified during the survey; however, the documentation about methods for the control of internal EMI problems available to site operators and planners is outdated, contains highly misleading (and some incorrect) material, and does not apply to radio receiving sites. The lack of pertinent documentation for the control of sources of EMI from digital devices is a major reason for many of the problems identified at Northwest and other radio receiving sites.

# Appendix A PERSONNEL

#### **PERSONNEL**

The NW9705 SNEP team was composed of members selected from a number of government, commercial and industrial entities. All team members have extensive backgrounds and training in the specific work tasks required to complete the objectives of the POAM.

The team members are:

Name	Organization
Pamela J. Guardabascio	NISE East
Richard W. Adler	Naval Postgraduate School
Carlo A. Melnick	Raytheon E-Systems
William A. Briotta	Raytheon E-Systems
George F. Munsch	Consultant
Wilbur R. Vincent	Consultant

The SNEP team is grateful for the support of the Commanding officer of NSGA Northwest, CAPT. Peyronel, the Executive Officer, CDR Delorey, the Electronics Maintenance Officer, CTMCM Robert Goehring, and the Assistant Electronics Maintenance Officer, CTMC Robert Browning. Several of the site staff assisted us on an almost daily basis, including:

Name	Organization
CTMC Albert Martinez	60 Department
CTM1 John Krueger	60 Department
CTM1 Cherie Roche	60 Department
CTM2 Ellen Lampkin	60 Department
CTM2 Edward Sanchez	60 Department
CTM2 Tricia Kelley	60 Department
CTM3 Kristen Lester	60 Department
Mr. Charlie Johnson	60 Department - Electrician
Mr. John Lane	60 Department - Electrician
Mr. Vincent Oakes	GE Americom
Station Engineer Avaransky	NATO SATCOM

We apologize if any were overlooked in assembling this list.

# Appendix B REVIEW OF SOURCES OF POWER-LINE NOISE IDENTIFIED ON PRIOR VISITS

## REVIEW OF SOURCES OF POWER-LINE NOISE IDENTIFIED ON PRIOR VISITS

Available information about sources of power-line noise identified during prior team visits was assembled into a standard data-recording format. The format is provided on Page 36 of the Power-Line Noise Mitigation Handbook.<sup>1</sup>

A number of sources were on distribution lines. Those with sufficient information to identify the affected pole were visited by the NW9705 SNEP team. The purpose of the visit was to ascertain their present status, review repairs made to each pole, and obtain GPS location data. The results of these pole visits are presented in this appendix. A source data form is provided for each pole, and these forms follow this introductory information.

Each data sheet identifies the pole location in two separate ways. A brief description of each pole location is provided. This description is sufficient to locate the pole from nearby roads and landmarks. In addition, the location in latitude and longitude, obtained from a GPS receiver, is provided. The GPS also provided the distance from the site to each source and the bearing from the site to each source.

The mitigation action taken to eliminate each source is listed on the form as well as a note about the present activity of each source. The team found that all the listed sources were noise quiet, indicating that the reported mitigation actions were successful.

Partial information about thirteen additional sources located on a transmission line east of the site was available. Source data forms are also provided for these sources, and they are identical to the forms for the distribution lines. A few of these sources were not visited by the NW9705 team due to flooding of the right-of-way for the line from rainstorms prior to and during the team's visit. Only the pole numbers and Virginia Power Company source identification information are provided for a few sources since the remainder of the data could not be obtained during this visit.

Wilbur R. Vincent and George F Munsch, *Power-Line Noise Mitigation Handbook for Naval Receiving Sites*, Prepared for COMNAVSECGRU N-44, 4th edition, April 1997.

Site: Northwest
Source Identification No.: 1
Date: 961107
Time: 1141
Pole ID:
Location: In front of St. Bride's Correctional Facility
Latitude: Unable to obtain GPS data
Longitude:
Site-to-Source Bearing:
Site-to-Source Distance:
Noise Description:
Comments:
Source Hardware: Cooper lightning arrester.
<del>-</del>
Required Mitigation Actions: Replace Cooper lightning arrester.
A - 4 - 3 TRAPES 25 - A - 25 - TO - 1 - 1 - 20 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 -
Actual Mitigation Actions: Replaced Cooper lightning arrester.

Site: Northwest Source Identification No.: 2 **Date:** 961107 Time: 1141 Pole ID: 0F97 Location: Transformer pole feeding administration building at St. Bride's Correctional Facility. Latitude: 37° 36.70' N **Longitude: 76° 11.07' W** Site-to-Source Bearing: 46° Site-to-Source Distance: 9.87 km Noise Description: Comments: Source pole was quiet when checked on 970429

Source Hardware: Cooper lightning arrester

Required Mitigation Actions: Replace Cooper lightning arrester.

Actual Mitigation Actions: Replaced Cooper lightning arrester.

Site: Northwest
Source Identification No.: 3
<b>Date:</b> 970403
Time: 0915
Pole ID:
Location: Gallbush Rd. a little more than ½ way between sharp bend in road and intersection with Indian Creek Rd.
Latitude: Unable to obtain GPS data
Longitude:
Site-to-Source Bearing:
Site-to-Source Distance:
Noise Description:
Comments:
Source Hardware: Cooper lightning arrester
Required Mitigation Actions: Replace Cooper lightning arrester.
Actual Mitigation Actions: Replaced Cooper lightning arrester.

Source Identification No.: 4

Date:

Time:

Pole ID: "FB65" and "M"

Location: Tap line off of Rt. 168. South side of bridge near Seven Eleven on same side of

Rt. 168 as the Seven Eleven.

Latitude: 36° 33.89' N

Longitude: 76° 11.99' W

Site-to-Source Bearing: 78°

Site-to-Source Distance: 5.59 km

**Noise Description:** 

Comments: Source pole was quiet when checked on 970429

Source Hardware: Bell insulators.

Required Mitigation Actions: Replace 2 sets of bells with polymer insulators.

Actual Mitigation Actions: Replaced 2 sets of bells with polymer insulators.

Site: North	hwest
Source Ide	ntification No.: 5
Date:	
Time:	
Pole ID: B	3A05
Location:	Tap line off of Ballahack Rd., on the north side of Ballahack, east of transmission line. Go down semiprivate driveway next to tanker trailer. Graveyard is on left side of driveway about 100 feet in. Source pole is at very end beside pond.
Latitude:	36° 33.81' N
Longitude	: 76° 12.28' W
Site-to-Sou	rce Bearing: 78°
Site-to-Sou	arce Distance: 4.98 km
Noise Desc	ription:
Comments	<b>:</b>
Source Ha	rdware: Tie wire on telephone line hardware.
Required M	Mitigation Actions: Replace tie wire.
Actual Mit	igation Actions:

Source Identification No.: 6

**Date:** 970410

Time:

Pole ID: XM17

Location: Corner of Pine Grove Landing and Ballahack Rd.

Latitude: 36° 34.80' N

**Longitude:** 76° 15.13' W

Site-to-Source Bearing: 27°

Site-to-Source Distance: 2.21 km

Noise Description:

Comments: Source pole was quiet when checked on 970429

Source Hardware: Bell insulators

Required Mitigation Actions: Replace bells with polymer insulators.

Actual Mitigation Actions: Replaced bells with polymer insulators.

Source Identification No.: 7

**Date:** 951213

**Time:** 1040

Pole ID: GB08

Location: Indian Creek Rd. Enter from Gallbush Rd., 1st pole on left.

Latitude: 36° 36.49' N

Longitude: 76° 11.88' W

Site-to-Source Bearing: 38°

Site-to-Source Distance: 8.97 km

Noise Description: Bursts of noise

Comments: This is the first of four poles that feed poles for sources 9703-6 and 9703-5.

Source Hardware: Cooper lightning arrester

Required Mitigation Actions: Replace Cooper lightning arrester.

Actual Mitigation Actions: Replaced Cooper lightning arrester

	<del></del>	
Site: Northwest		
Source Identification No.: T-1		
Date: 9608		
Time:		
Pole ID:		
Location: Structure 59 on transmission line.		
<b>Latitude:</b> 36° 34.71' N		
<b>Longitude:</b> 76° 13.49′ W		
Site-to-Source Bearing: 50°		
Site-to-Source Distance: 4.09 km		
Noise Description:		
Comments:		
Same Handman Carried adaption		
Source Hardware: Ground wire staple	,	
Required Mitigation Actions:		
Acquired Wingation Actions.		
Actual Mitigation Actions:		

Site: Northwest		
Source Identification No.: T-2		
<b>Date:</b> 9608		
Time:		
Pole ID:		
Location: Structure 60 on transmission line.		
Latitude: 36° 34.55' N		
<b>Longitude:</b> 76° 13.41′ W		
Site-to-Source Bearing: 53°		
Site-to-Source Distance: 4.0 km		
Noise Description:	•	
Comments:		
Source Hardware: Ground wire staple		
		ļ
Required Mitigation Actions:		
Actual Mitigation Actions:		

Site: Northwest
Source Identification No.: T-3
<b>Date:</b> 9611
Time:
Pole ID:
Location: Structure 62 on transmission line.
Latitude: Unable to obtain GPS data
Longitude:
Site-to-Source Bearing:
Site-to-Source Distance:
Noise Description:
Comments:
Source Hardware: Ground wire staple
Required Mitigation Actions:
Actual Mitigation Actions:

Site: Northwest
Source Identification No.: T-4
Date: 9606
Time:
Pole ID:
Location: Structure 69 on transmission line.
<b>Latitude:</b> 36° 33.41′ N
Longitude: 76° 12.84' W
Site-to-Source Bearing: 86°
Site-to-Source Distance: 4.04 km
Noise Description:
Comments:
Common Handarana Craund wine stanta
Source Hardware: Ground wire staple
Required Mitigation Actions:
atoqui ou maniguron maniguron
Actual Mitigation Actions:

Site: Northwest	
Source Identification No.: T-5	
<b>Date:</b> 9611	
Time:	
Pole ID:	
Location: Structure 72 on transmission line.	
Latitude: Unable to obtain GPS data	
Longitude:	
Site-to-Source Bearing:	
Site-to-Source Distance:	
Noise Description:	
Comments:	
Source Hardware: Ground wire staple	
Required Mitigation Actions:	
Required Mingation Actions:	
Actual Mitigation Actions:	

Site: Northwest	
Source Identification No.: T-6	
Date: 9606	
Time:	
Pole ID:	
Location: Structure 77 on transmission line.	
T 1 260. 20 .40127	
Latitude: 36° 32.48' N	
Longitude: 76° 12.29' W	
Site-to-Source Bearing: 100°	
Site-to-Source Distance: 5.05 km	
Noise Description:	
Comments:	
Source Hardware: Ground wire staple	
Required Mitigation Actions:	
Actual Mitigation Actions:	

Site: Northwest	
Source Identification No.: T-7	
<b>Date:</b> 9606	
Time:	
Pole ID:	
Location: Structure 78 on transmission line.	
Latitude: 36° 32.58' N	
Longitude: 76° 12.28′ W	
Site-to-Source Bearing: 104°	
Site-to-Source Distance: 5.25 km	
Noise Description:	
Comments:	
Source Hardware: Ground wire staple	
Required Mitigation Actions:	
Required Minigation Actions.	
Actual Mitigation Actions:	
<b>6</b>	ļ

Site: Northwest
Source Identification No.: T-8
<b>Date:</b> 9606
Time:
Pole ID:
Location: Structure 79 on transmission line.
Latitude: 36° 32.22' N
Longitude: 76° 12.13' W
Site-to-Source Bearing: 111°
Site-to-Source Distance: 5.41 km
Noise Description:
Comments:
Source Hardware: Ground wire staple
Source Hardware: Ground wife staple
Required Mitigation Actions:
Actual Mitigation Actions:

Site: Northwest	
Source Identification No.: T-9	
Date: 9704	
Time:	
Pole ID:	
Location: Structure 80 on transmission line.	
Latitude: 36° 32.06' N	
<b>Longitude:</b> 76° 12.08' W	
Site-to-Source Bearing: 113°	
Site-to-Source Distance: 5.6 km	
Noise Description:	
Comments:	
Source Hardwares Ground wire stants	
Source Hardware: Ground wire staple	
Required Mitigation Actions:	
1	
Actual Mitigation Actions:	;

Site: Northwest
Source Identification No.: T-10
<b>Date:</b> 9611
Time:
Pole ID:
Location: Structure 81 on transmission line.
Latitude: 36° 31.97' N
Longitude: 76° 12.02' W
Site-to-Source Bearing: 114°
Site-to-Source Distance: 5.76 km
Noise Description:
Comments:
Samue Hard and Contact to the
Source Hardware: Ground wire staple
Required Mitigation Actions:
Acquired Mingation Actions.
Actual Mitigation Actions:

Site: Northwest		
Source Identification No.: T-11		
<b>Date:</b> 9611		
Time:		
Pole ID:		
Location: Structure 82 on transmission line.		
<b>Latitude:</b> 36° 31.80' N		
<b>Longitude:</b> 76° 11.94′ W		
Site-to-Source Bearing: 116°		
Site-to-Source Distance: 6.0 km		
Noise Description:		
Commonter		
Comments:		
Source Hardware: Ground wire staple		
orange Maraware. Ground who stapic		
Required Mitigation Actions:		
Actual Mitigation Actions:		
		ļ

Site: Northwest	 	 
Source Identification No.: T-12		
Date: 9606		
Time:		
Pole ID:		
Location: Structure 83 on transmission line.		
<b>Latitude:</b> 36° 31.69' N		
Longitude: 76° 11.87' W		
Site-to-Source Bearing: 118°		
Site-to-Source Distance: 6.18 km		
Noise Description:		
Comments:		
Source Hardware: Ground wire staple		
Required Mitigation Actions:		
Actual Mitigation Actions:		

Site: Northwest	
Source Identification No.: T-13	
<b>Date:</b> 9608	
Time:	
Pole ID:	
Location: Structure 89 on transmission line.	
Latitude: 36° 30.94' N	
Longitude: 76° 11.27' W	
Site-to-Source Bearing: 124°	
Site-to-Source Distance: 7.68 km	
Noise Description:	
Comments:	
Source Hardware: Ground wire staple	
Source Hardware. Ground whe staple	
Required Mitigation Actions:	
Actual Mitigation Actions:	
	1

## Appendix C NEW SOURCES OF POWER-LINE NOISE

### **NEW SOURCES OF POWER-LINE NOISE**

This appendix provides a record of each new case of radio interference which was traced to a source on a power line during the NW9705 SNEP team visit. The procedure used to locate each source is provided in a handbook developed specifically for use at naval receiving sites<sup>1</sup>.

The source information form used on previous SNEP surveys was used to record information about each new source. Copies of these forms follow this introductory material. Each form contains a noise-source serial number and a date and time of the source identification.

The location of each pole containing a source of radio noise is provided in two ways. A brief written description is given. This description is sufficient to drive to each location with the aid of a standard road and street map. In addition, the latitude and longitude of each location is determined by a GPS receiver. The GPS also provides the distance from the site to each source and the bearing from the site to each source.

A brief description of the temporal properties of each source of noise is provided. This description must be verified by both the internal team assessing the magnitude of the noise source at the input to a receiver and the external team at the source pole. This description is sometimes sufficient to identify the type of hardware harboring the noise source.

The identification of the actual source hardware is accomplished with an RF/Acoustic Sniffer. The use of the Sniffer requires a utility-rated bucket truck to elevate the Sniffer to near vicinity of the source hardware.

The next to the last item on the source information page, Required Mitigation Actions, cannot be completed until the actual source hardware is identified. Once the source hardware is identified, the mitigation action is often obvious. The last item on the page, Actual Mitigation Actions, cannot be completed until the utility operating the lines takes corrective action. This item is used to determine the long-term effectiveness of mitigation actions.

Wilbur R. Vincent and George F. Munsch, Signal-to-Noise Enhancement Program Power-Line Noise Mitigation Handbook for Naval Receiving Sites, 4th Edition, prepared for COMNAVSECGRU, January 1997.

Only poles proven to have a source that adversely affects the reception of radio signals at the NSGA Northwest receiving site are listed. Many sources are found by the external team which do not affect signal reception; these sources are ignored.

A copy of this appendix was provided to Mr. Harry Glessner of Virginia Power so that he could begin the mitigation actions on the sources identified herein.

Site: Northwest
Source Identification No.: 9704-1
Date: 970429
<b>Time:</b> 1540
<b>Pole ID:</b> 1J48
Location: Corner of Gallbush Rd. and Penny Lane
Latitude: 36° 34.57' N
Longitude: 76° 11.61′ W
Site-to-Source Bearing: 51°
Site-to-Source Distance: 6.6 km
Noise Description: Erratic spitting noise, one pair of bursts about every 2 seconds. Bi-polar, 3 impulses per burst, erratic amplitude.
Comments: Light on pole, pin insulators
Source Hardware:
Required Mitigation Actions:
Actual Mitigation Actions:

Site: Northwest
Source Identification No.: 9704-02
<b>Date:</b> 970429
<b>Time:</b> 1600
<b>Pole ID:</b> 125A
Location: On Gallbush, 1 span in from Rt. 168
Latitude: 36° 34.52' N
<b>Longitude:</b> 76° 11.95′ W
Site-to-Source Bearing: 51°
Site-to-Source Distance: 6.13 km
Noise Description: Multiple pulses, closely spaced.
Comments:
Source Hardware:
Required Mitigation Actions:
Actual Mitigation Actions:

Site: Northwest
Source Identification No.: 9704-03
Date: 970501
Time: 0921
Pole ID: 20 AMP (top), N0600 (middle), G152 (bottom)
Location: Corner of NW Backwoods Rd. and Bermuda Lane.
Latitude: 36° 30.79' N
<b>Longitude:</b> 76° 14.36′ W
Site-to-Source Bearing: 159°
Site-to-Source Distance: 4.91 km
Noise Description: Bi-polar, 4-6 pulses on each polarity, constant amplitude.
Comments: Single-phase line, source pole has fuse cutout and underground transition.
Source Hardware: Suspect bell insulators
Required Mitigation Actions:
Actual Mitigation Actions:

Site: Northwest Source Identification No.: 9704-04 Date: 970501 Time: 0927 **Pole ID:** G173 Location: On NW Backwoods Rd. across from source 9704-3. Latitude: 36° 30.79' N **Longitude:** 76° 14.36′ W Site-to-Source Bearing: 159° Site-to-Source Distance: 4.91 km Noise Description: Same as 9704-3 Comments: Source pole is on main line and feeds 9704-3. Source Hardware: Suspect bell insulators. **Required Mitigation Actions: Actual Mitigation Actions:** 

Source Identification No.: 9704-05

**Date:** 970501

**Time:** 1000

Pole ID: AN11

Location: Backwoods Rd. across from Hunt Club.

Latitude: 36° 32.28' N

**Longitude:** 76° 15.02' W

Site-to-Source Bearing: 129°

Site-to-Source Distance: 1.55 km

Noise Description: Bi-polar, erratic spacing (close to large), erratic amplitude, steady

operation of source.

Comments: Local residents complaining of TV interference problems.

Source Hardware: Suspect loose pin insulators or loose pin bolt on crossarm. Dry rot is

present on crossarm.

Required Mitigation Actions: Tighten pin insulators on pin bolts, tighten all hardware.

Replace crossarm if pin bolts cannot be tightened. Add flat

washers and 2-turn lock washers to pin bolts.

**Actual Mitigation Actions:** 

Source Identification No.: 9704-06

**Date:** 970501

**Time:** 1138

**Pole ID:** 0D45

Location: From South Mills Rd enter SR 1228(Newtown Rd), turn right onto SR 1266

(Richard Shaw Rd), follow SR 1266 into residential area. SR 1266 becomes

Rt. 4, source pole is located on private property located on Rt. 4, Box 115, name

of resident is B. Reese. Source pole is on right side of house.

Latitude: 36° 31.64' N

**Longitude:** 76° 11.08' W

Site-to-Source Bearing: 113°

Site-to-Source Distance: 7.29 km

Noise Description: Bi-polar, 4-5 pulses each polarity, variable spacing. Amplitude varies

about ±5 dB, steady operation.

Comments:

Source Hardware: Suspect bell insulator support hardware.

Required Mitigation Actions: Replace bells with polymers, tighten all hardware.

**Actual Mitigation Actions:** 

# Appendix D IONOSPHERIC ACTIVITY REPORTS

### IONOSPHERIC ACTIVITY REPORTS

This section contains copies of the daily Ionospheric Reports issued by the National Institutes of Science and Technology and broadcast hourly over their standard time and frequency radio stations. These reports, which are also available over the Internet, are monitored at least once a day to provide the SNEP team with data relating to the condition of the ionosphere and the resulting effects on radio-signal propagation. Knowledge and understanding of these parameters is necessary for several key reasons. The first is to properly execute the PROPHET signal propagation program, since the Solar Flux is one of the more critical inputs. An equally important application is to provide team members with a reference against which to judge observed signal levels and to determine which frequency bands are expected to be usable. Details of the reports, their derivation, purpose and meaning are fully presented in the text of a new handbook. The reader is referred to it for additional information on the many aspects of HF propagation which have direct consequences to receiving sites.

No major ionospheric storms or disturbed signal propagation conditions occurred during the 9705 SNEP visit. The minor storm reported on Friday, 970502 had no perceptible effect on the SNEP measurements.

George Jacobs, Theodore J. Cohen, and Robert B. Rose; *The New Shortwave Propagation Handbook*, CQ Communications, 76 N. Broadway, Hicksville, N. Y. 11801

Date: 9/0428 Time: 10:18 L	Station:	<u>wv</u>	<u> </u>
Solar Flux: 73			HH:18 HH:45
Boulder A Index :			
Boulder K Index at 12 00 UT on 28 Apl was	2	_•	
Solar-Terrestrial Conditions for the last 24 hours:			
Solar Activity: Very Low			
Geomagnetic Field : Quiet			
(Special Conditions):			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Forecast for the Next 24 Hours:			
Solar Activity: Very Low			
Geomagnetic Field: Quiet			

Date: 970429 Time: 1518 L	Station	n :	$\mathbf{VV}$
		WWV:	
Solar Flux: 72		WWVH:	HH:45
Boulder A Index :			
Boulder K Index at18 00 UT on29Aplwas	1	_•	
Solar-Terrestrial Conditions for the last 24 hours:			
Solar Activity : Very Low			
Geomagnetic Field: Quiet			
(Special Conditions):		···	<u></u>
Forecast for the Next 24 Hours:			
Solar Activity:Very Low			
Compandic Field · Quiet to Unsettled			

Date: 9/0430 Time: 09:18 L	Station: <u>WWV</u>
Solar Flux: 72	WWV: HH:18 WWVH: HH:45
Boulder A Index :	
Boulder K Index at 12 00 UT on 30 Apl was _	
Solar-Terrestrial Conditions for the last 24 hours:	
Solar Activity: Very Low	
Geomagnetic Field: Quiet to Active	
(Special Conditions):	
Forecast for the Next 24 Hours:	
Solar Activity: <u>Very Low</u>	A-11
Geomagnetic Field: Ouiet to Unsettled	

Date: 9/0501 11me: 10:18 L	Station: <u>WWV</u>
Solar Flux: 73	WWV: HH:18 WWVH: HH:45
Boulder A Index: 10* (May not be copied correctly	due to static crashes.
Boulder K Index at 12 00 UT on 1 May	was
Solar-Terrestrial Conditions for the last 24 hours:	
Solar Activity: Very Low	
Geomagnetic Field: Quiet to Unsettled	
(Special Conditions) :	
Forecast for the Next 24 Hours:	
Solar Activity: <u>Very Low</u>	
Geomagnetic Field · Ouiet to Unsettled	

Date: 9/0502 Time: 09:18 L	Station	: <u>WV</u>	VV
Solar Flux: 72		WWV: WWVH:	
Boulder A Index:			
Boulder K Index at 12 00 UT on 2 May was	2	•	
Solar-Terrestrial Conditions for the last 24 hours:			
Solar Activity: Very Low	-		
Geomagnetic Field: Quiet to Minor Storm			
(Special Conditions):			
Forecast for the Next 24 Hours:			
Solar Activity: Very Low	-11-		
Geomagnetic Field:Minor Storm			

Date: 970505 Time: 9:18 L	Station: <u>WWV</u>
Solar Flux : 71	WWV: HH:18 WWVH: HH:45
Boulder A Index :	
Boulder K Index at 12 00 UT on 5 May was	
Solar-Terrestrial Conditions for the last 24 hours:	
Solar Activity : Very Low	
Geomagnetic Field: Quiet to Unsettled	
(Special Conditions):	
Forecast for the Next 24 Hours:	
Solar Activity : Very Low	
Geomagnetic Field: Quiet to Unsettled	

Date: 9/0506 Time: 9:18 L	Station: <u>WWV</u>
Solar Flux: 71	WWV: HH:18 WWVH: HH:45
Boulder A Index :	
Boulder K Index at 12 00 UT on 6 May was	1
Solar-Terrestrial Conditions for the last 24 hours:	
Solar Activity: Very Low	
Geomagnetic Field: Quiet to Unsettled	
(Special Conditions) :	
Forecast for the Next 24 Hours:	
Solar Activity: <u>Very Low</u>	
Geomagnetic Field: Ouiet to Unsettled	

REPORT NO. UMTA-MA-06-0041-80-1



# LORAN-C RFI MEASURED IN LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

W.R. Vincent G. Sage

Systems Control, Inc. (Vt) 1801 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304



OCTOBER 1980 FINAL REPORT

DOCUMENT IS AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC THROUGH THE NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE, SPRINGFIELD, VIRGINIA 22161

Prepared for

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION URBAN MASS TRANSPORTATION ADMINISTRATION Office of Technology Development and Deployment Office of Bus and Paratransit Technology Washington DC 20590

#### NOTICE

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for its contents or use thereof.

#### NOTICE

The United States Government does not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturers' names appear herein solely because they are considered essential to the object of this report.

### Technical Report Documentation Page

1. Report No.	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog	No.						
UMTA-MA-06-0041-80-1									
			•						
4. Title and Subtitle		5. Report Date							
LORAN-C RFI MEASURED IN L	OS ANCELES CALTEORNIA	October 1980							
I I I I I I	OS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA	6. Performing Organization Code							
		ł	DTS-721						
		8. Performing Organizat	ion Report No						
7. Author's)			į						
W.R. Vincent, G. Sage		DOT-TSC-UMTA-	-80- 38						
9. Performing Organization Name and Address		10. Work Unit No. (TRA	IS)						
Systems Control, Inc. (Vt	/*	UM011/R0702							
1801 Page Mill Road	) ··	11. Contract or Grant No.							
Palo Alto, CA 94304		DOT-TSC-1237							
		13. Type of Report and	Period Covered						
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address			. choo covered						
U.S. Department of Transport	ortation	Final Report							
Urban Mass Transportation Office of Technology Deve	Administration	December 1978	3-January 1979						
Office of Bus and Paratra	sit Technology	14. Sponsoring Agency (	Code						
Washington DC 20590	iote reemology	UTD-22							
15. Supplementary Notes *Under subcor	2 track to 0 11 t								
Division 2908 Cullon Str.	cont East Have Build Inc., Inf	formation Identif	ication						
TSC, Cambridge, MA 02142	asportation, Research and S	Special Programs	Administration,						
16. Abstract									
This report describe	- #1: - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
of Ioran C DEI de the I	the tests that were condu	icted to determin	e the nature						
They were traced to make a	Angeles area. Six importa	ant noise types w	ere identified.						
ine, were traced to probat	te Sources in each case.	Their contint di	atribution						
with sharp houndaries to	;; rather, there are strong	g-signal regions	juxtaposed						
"Tell Sharp boundaries to a	l large number of regions o	of various sizes	in which						
noise predominates so as t	o make the available signa	ıl unusable.							
		•							
17 V W									
17. Key Words Loran-C	18. Distribution State	ement							
radio-frequency interferen	DOCUMENT.								
	THROUGH 1	IS AVAILABLE TO THE THE NATIONAL TECHNIC	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
noise spectrum	MFORMATI	ION SERVICE SPRINGER	LD.						
	VIRGINIA 2	2161	•						
19. Security Classif. (of this report)									
17. Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Classif. (of this page)	21. No. of Pages	22. Price						
Unclassified	20. Security Classif. (of this page) Unclassified	21. No. of Pages	22. Price						

#### PREFACE

This report presents the results of tests conducted to determine the nature of Loran-C RFI in the Los Angeles area which would be encountered by vehicularly installed Loran-C navigation systems.

The tests were conducted by Systems Control, Inc. (SCI (Vt)) under subcontract to Gould Inc., Information Identification Division under Contract No. DOT-TSC-1237. The text was prepared by W.R. Vincent and G. Sage of SCI (Vt), while the summary was prepared by John Hovorka of the Transportation Systems Center and Fred Heathcock of Gould Inc. The work was sponsored by the Urban Mass Transportation Administration, Office of Bus and Paratransit.

	2		•	• •	£	R i	ŧ			~	4 7	. ~ i	I				8	#				3	18.	5	ā	<b>-</b> 3	2				•			N	<del>-</del> 8	u	
Measures	1. Fil		refor	inches.	¥	spee A				;	Square inches	South Spiles	9Cres				DUNCO	spunod	short tons			fluid aunces	Stard	querts	geilons	Cubic feet	-				) pirenheit lempereture		•	002 091	}		
ions from Metric	Multiply by	LENGTH	4	4.	3.3	::3	:	450	AMEA	;			5.5		ee /majahat	MASS [Weight]	90.03	77	=		VOLUME	0.03	2.1	1.06	<b>2</b>	<b>#</b> -	?		IEMFERAJUNE (GRACE)	(a) (a) (b)	(25 pp		;	00 - 120		11	
Approximate Conversions from Matric Messures	When Yes Knew	į		Centimeters	Ameloca.	meters					Square fraction	square telemeters	hectares (10,000 m <sup>2</sup> )		3		Grams	Litograms	townes (1000 kg)	•		Mulli liters	trates	litera	1.00	Cubic meters				Celenia	Catalons Earlyse atoms		;	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		•	
	Sympton		ŧ	ŧ	E	e \$	i			Ą	~	~5	2					9	-			ī	_	_	_7	e 7e	į			ŗ	,			7	ì	•	
cz	::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	114 HH	30 30	61		• 1		 M 1111	91	  -  11111	91		<b>*</b> :		ei L	Z	1		1211	oı			•		z Inii		•		: 	•		•		:   	1		
<b>,</b>	''1'  '	11	T''	1.1	.1.	' '       	<b>[</b> '1'	' '1' 	ļ'1		l'	'l'	' <b>!</b> '	ļ'1'		' 'I	' ' <sup>1</sup>	<b>'</b>  '		' ''	" "	l' '	'	' ' 3	11	'1	<b>' '</b>		'  <sup> </sup>	'''  	<b>'</b>  '	'l' 	'l'	ן'ו'ן 	inch	""	
	S.				5	5	e \$	i				E"	<u>'</u>	i 2			•	. 2	-			Ē	ŧ	Ē			-	e T	Ě		•	u					
Measures	To Find	!			Centimeters	Centimaters	meters h.lometers				Square centimeters	Squere meters	aquare meters	hectares			Sum S	h lograms	towns			milliliters	Methologes	milliliters.			liters	Cubic meters	CUDIC METERS			Colsius	Interpretation				
Appreximate Conversions to Metric Measures	Martuphy by		LENGTH		2.5	8	8. d	!	AREA		6.5	8.	9.6	9.6		MASS (weight)	22	3.6	ø. Ø	VOLUME		•	<b>*</b>	2	770	<b>36</b>	3.6	0.03	•	TEMPERATURE (exact)		5/9 (after	(Z)				
Appraximate Co.	When You Know		1		anches.	Ĭ.	yards		-		square anches	Square feet	sound yards	Tage 1	•		OUNCes	paruds	short tons (2000 lb)			Lesspoons	tablespoons	fluid Gunces		e sur	gellons	Cubic feet		TEMP		Februaries					
	Symbol				5	æ T	2 2			•	ie T	£ 7	2 <sup>7</sup> 2				3	9				ş		3	. 1	. 7	š	ኔኝ	2		3	•					

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section			Page								
	SUMMA	ARY	хi								
1.	INTRO	DDUCTION	1								
2.	INST	INSTRUMENTATION									
3.	PRESI	ENTATION OF SIGNIFICANT DATA	7								
	3.1	General Approach	7								
	3.2	Best Loran-C Signal Reception	8								
	3.3	Severe Impulsive Noise	12								
	3.4	Quasi-Random Noise and Mixed Noise	22								
	3.5	Strong Local Area Near Field Signals	34								
	3.6	Weak Large Area Signals	41								
	3.7	Power Transmission Line Carrier Communications	44								
	3.8	Freeway Reception	50								
4.	DISC	USSION	53								
	, ,		53								
	4.1	General Comments	53								
	4.2	Noise from Electric Utility Distribution Lines	54								
	4.3	Strong Local Area Signals	55								
	4.4	Weak CW Signals	56								
	4.5	Power Transmission Line Carrier Communications	57								
	4.6	Spatial Considerations	58								
	4.7	Noise Sources and Possible Controls	60								
	4.8	Loran-C Signal Reception	00								
5.	PRES	ENTATION OF SIGNIFICANT DATA	61								
	5.1	General Approach	61								
	5.2	Fixed Site Measurements	63								
	5.3	Supplementary Measurements	73								
		5.3.1 General Description	73								
		5.3.2 Spatial Variations in CW Signal Levels	73								
		5.3.3 Spatial Variations in Impulsive Noise	79								
		5.3.4 Time-Time Presentation of Impulsive Noise	88								
		5.3.5 Traffic Control and Telephone Line Emissions.	90								
		5.3.6 Ignition Noise	94								
		•	96								
			99								
		5.3.8 Bursts of Power Line-Associated Noise 5.3.9 El Segundo Power Plant	101								
6.	DISC	CUSSION	104								
	6.1	General Comments	104								
	6.2	Fixed Site Results	105								
	6.3	Supplementary Measurements	116								
	0.3	anhliemenrary measuremenra									

### TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT.)

Section											Page
	6.4 6.5	Noise Noise	and CW Descrip	Signal Mators and	apping. Other	 Measur	ement	 s	• • • •	• • • •	 117 120
7.	CONC	LUSIONS	S	•••••	• • • • • • • •					• • • •	 124
APPENDIX	A -	3-AXIS	VIEWS I	FOR FIXED	SITES.						 127
APPENDIX	В -	REPORT	OF NEW	TECHNOLO	GΥ					• • • •	 213
REFERENCE	ES								• • • •	• • • •	 215
BIBLIOGRA	\PHY.										 216

### LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure		Page
2-1	BLOCK DIAGRAM OF NOISE AND RFI MEASUREMENT SYSTEM	2
2-2	PREAMPLIFIER BANDPASS FILTER RESPONSE	4
3-1	12/21/78, 1009	10
3-2	12/22/78, 1107	11
3-3	12/19/78, 1358	15
3-4	12/19/78, 1311	16
3-5	12/18/78, 1303	17
3-6	12/18/78, 1510	18
3-7	12/22/78, 1150	19
3-8	12/22/78, 1142	20
3-9	12/22/78, 1143	21
3-10	12/22/78, 1026	25
3-11	12/22/78, 1045(a)	26
3-12	12/22/78, 1045(b)	27
3-13	12/22/78, 1045(c)	28
3-14	12/22/78, 1019	29
3-15	12/22/78, 1059(a)	30
3-16	12/22/78, 1059(b)	31
3-17	12/20/78, 1132	32
3-18	12/21/78, 1033	33
3-19	12/21/78, 1130	36
3-20	12/20/78, 1407	37
3-21	12/19/78, 1543	38
3-22	12/21/78, 1135	39

### LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (CONT.)

Figure		Page
3-23	12/20/78, 1400	40
3-24	12/21/78, 0936	42
3-25	12/21/78, 1500	43
3-26	12/21/78, 1356	46
3-27	12/21/78, 1420	47
3-28	12/21/78, 1520	48
3-29	12/21/78, 1525	49
3-30	12/19/78, 1611/1612	51
3-31	12/19/78, 1614/1622	52
5-1	3-AXIS VIEW, 1/24/79, 0730, COLISEUM	68
5-2	3-AXIS VIEW, 1/26/79, 0748, COLISEUM	69
5-3	3-AXIS VIEW, 1/24/79, 0715, BROADWAY AND PICO	70
5-4	3-AXIS VIEW, 1/24/79, 1353, 425 MAIN STREET	72
5-5	3-AXIS VIEW, 1/22/79, 1220, APPROACHING 106-010	75
5-6	3-AXIS VIEW, 1/23/79, 0827, LEAVING 109-014	76
5-7	3-AXIS VIEW, 1/23/79, 0916, FROM SEPULVEDA ONTO WILMINGTON	77
5-8	3-AXIS VIEW, 1/23/79, 0918, TWO LOCATIONS ON WILMINGTON	78
5-9	3-AXIS VIEW, 1/23/79, 0944, FROM DEL AMO ONTO TILLMAN	80
5-10	3-AXIS VIEW, 1/22/79, 1125, DEL AMO AND ANSA	81
5-11	3-AXIS VIEW, 1/23/79, 0942, LEAVING 109-018	82
5-12	3-AXIS VIEW, 1/26/79, 0920, 109-018 SITE 2	83
5-13	3-AXIS VIEW, 1/26/79, 0923, 109-018 SITE 2	84
5-14	3-AXIS VIEW, 1/26/79, 0930, 109-018 SITE 2	86
5 <b>-</b> 15	3-AXIS VIEW, 1/25/79, 1246, VISTA DEL MAR	87

### LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (CONT.)

Figure		Page
5-16	3-AXIS VIEW, 1/26/79, 0855, 109-018 SITE 2	89
5-17	3-AXIS VIEW, 1/23/79, 1100, BETWEEN 108-023 AND 108-024	91
5-18	3-AXIS VIEW, 1/26/79, 1211, ROSECRANS AND SEPULVEDA	92
5-19	3-AXIS VIEW, 1/26/79, 1156, DOWNTOWN EL SEGUNDO	93
5-20	3-AXIS VIEW, 1/26/79, 0803, HARBOR FREEWAY IGNITION NOISE.	95
5-21	3-AXIS VIEW, 1/22/79, 1238, HARBOR FREEWAY UNDERPASS	97
5-22	3-AXIS VIEW, 1/26/79, 0808, HARBOR FREEWAY OVERPASS	98
5-23	3-AXIS VIEW, 1/27/79, 1017, ROSECRANS BETWEEN SEPULVEDA AND VISTA DEL MAR	100
5-24	3-AXIS VIEW, 1/26/79, 1042, VISTA DEL MAR	102
5-25	3-AXIS VIEW, 1/26/79, 1038, VISTA DEL MAR	103
6-1	PHYSICAL LAYOUT OF SITE 109-018	119